Automatic Determination of Resonance Parameters from Self-Shielded Measurements

Alec Golas

Department of Mechanical, Aerospace and Nuclear Engineering Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, Troy, NY, 12180



NCSP Technical Program Review 02/22/2024







Achievements

- Developed functionality to fit URR parameters from self-shielded transmission measurements
- Improved physics for calculating self-shielded capture yield correction factor
- Developed functionality to fit URR parameters from self-shielded capture yield measurements
- Validated fitting performance for both capture and transmission measurements







Motivation



- Self Shielding is phenomena which • occurs in the unresolved resonance region
- Function of the resonance structure of • the cross section we are unable to resolve
- Because only average parameters can • be obtained in the URR, a correction factor must be calculated to fix transmission data and properly fit resonance parameters
- A 4mm Ta-181 transmission simulation • is used to demonstrate self shielding







Motivation



 $\langle T \rangle = e^{-n \langle \sigma \rangle} C_T$



• Goal is to define $\langle T \rangle$ as a function of $\langle \sigma \rangle$

$$\langle T\rangle \neq e^{-n\langle \sigma\rangle}$$

- Code exists called SESH which calculates C_T, the self-shielding correction factor
- SESH simulates resonances using average parameters and their known distributions
- Calculates (σ) and (T) from Monte Carlo sampling
- Uses these quantities to calculate C_T





4 of 21

Previous Self-Shielding Workflow





Prone to user error

URR





Previous Work

- Validated SESH performance for transmission correction
- Validated SESH performance for capture correction
- Rewrote doppler broadening subroutine to fix correction factor underprediction







CURRENT PROGRESS







Calculating Self-Shielded Measurements with SAMMY

- SAMMY is now able to calculate theoretical self-shielded transmission and yield from resonance parameters
- Functionally identical to fitting in resolved resonance region
- A functioning example for transmission can be found in a new SAMMY test tr191



Verifying Transmission Fitting

- To test this, compared to simulated transmission measurements in MCNP with Ta-181 using ENDF-8.1b2 evaluation
- Assuming NJOY+MCNP is completely correct
- Used four different thicknesses: 2mm, 4mm, 8mm, and 12mm









Verifying Transmission Fitting

	2mm	4mm	8mm	12mm	ENDF-8.1b2
$S_0(\times 10^4)$	1.753	1.752	1.739	1.723	1.740 ± 0.03
$S_1(\times 10^4)$	0.823	0.808	0.799	0.801	0.800 ± 0.07
$S_2(\times 10^4)$	1.527	1.632	1.741	1.787	1.690 ± 0.18

- Goal was to perturb the parameters such that the initial value was incorrect, and then obtain the correct parameters.
- Final fits fell within the range of error of the ENDF-8.1b2 evaluation for all thicknesses
- Fitting self-shielded URR transmission measurements in SAMMY is working correctly









Capture Correction: Multiple Scattering

 $P_0^i = \left(1 - e^{-n\sigma_{tot}^i}\right) \frac{\sigma_{\gamma}^i}{\sigma_{tot}^i}$ $\equiv \text{ the probability that a neutron is absorbed without scattering}$ $P_1^i \equiv \text{ the probability that a neutron is absorbed after scattering once}$ $P_k^i \equiv \text{ the probability that a neutron is absorbed after scattering } k \text{ times}$

 $\langle p \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{k=0}^{10} P_k^i \equiv$ the average probability of a neutron being absorbed

 $Y \approx n\sigma_{\gamma} \equiv$ thin sample approximation

 $C_{C} = \frac{\langle p \rangle}{n \langle \sigma_{\gamma} \rangle}$ $\langle Y \rangle = \langle \sigma_{\gamma} \rangle n C_{C}$









Improving Multiple Scattering Physics

- SESH previously assigned every scattered neutron with the average scattering energy, independent of scattering angle
- Substituted with an angle-dependent energy sampling procedure:
 - 1. The scattering angle of each post collision neutron would be sampled assuming an isotropic scattering distribution
 - 2. post collision energy would be calculated as a function of the scattering angle

$$E' = E \frac{A^2 + 2A\mu_c + 1}{(A+1)^2}$$

Where

 $\mu_c = \cos \phi_c$; $\phi_c \equiv$ scattering angle in CMS

Increased maximum number of scattering events per neutron from 10 to 100







Improving Capture Correction

- Previously fixing the doppler broadening issue resolved majority of disagreement between SESH and MCNP
- Improving multiple scattering calculation improved agreement even further for all energies









Verifying Capture Fitting

	4mm	8mm	12mm	ENDF-8.1b2
$S_0(\times 10^4)$	1.739	1.751	1.745	1.740 ± 0.03
$S_1(\times 10^4)$	0.927	0.897	0.892	0.800 ± 0.07
$S_2(\times 10^4)$	1.573	1.632	1.596	1.690 ± 0.18

- Proceeded with fitting capture in same method as transmission
- P-wave strength fits outside of error bounds from ENDF-8.1b2 Ta-181 evaluation







TAC The Gaerttner LINAC Center

14 of 21

Verifying Capture Fitting: Processing Multiple Samples



- Wanted to ensure that inclusion of SESH into SAMMY did not break functionality to process multiple samples
- Should also increase fitting performance

	SAMMY	ENDF-8.1b2
$S_0(\times 10^4)$	1.737	1.740 ± 0.03
$S_1(\times 10^4)$	0.857	0.800 ± 0.07
$S_2(\times 10^4)$	1.614	1.690 ± 0.18

- Fits increased accuracy significantly much stronger agreement with ENDF-8.1b2 parameters
- Capture performance and multiple sample processing performance successfully verified





ONGOING WORK







Multiple Isotope Sample Corrections

- Looking at modeling capture correction for samples with multiple isotopes
- As basis, looked at transmission correction through a sample of Zr-90 and Zr-92 at varying enrichments
- Mixed isotope samples in simulation exhibit dampening of correction factor
- No simple functional relationship between the constituent isotope's individual correction factors, i.e.,

 $C_{T,mix} \neq \gamma_1 C_{T,1} + \gamma_2 C_{T,2}$

 Calculating correction factor of mixtures will require more intensive altering/refactoring of SESH code









Functionalizing SESH







18 of 21

he Gaerttner LINAC Center

Before/After Refactoring Subroutines



= 1,params%NumPairs
if(n.eq.1) then
CALL SPACE(endep_params%DE(J,L1),DD)
HPos=DD*random()
HNeg= HPos – DD
else
<pre>call wigner(endep_params%DE(J,L1),DD)</pre>
HPos = HPos + DD
call wigner(endep_params%DE(J,L1),DD)
HNeg = HNeg - DD
end if
Hvals(1) = HPos
Hvals(2) = HNeg

do h_i=1,2 H = Hvals(h_i) GNS = 0. GNINS = 0.

do L=L1, L2, 2
 call porter(endep_params%GN(J,L), GNL(L))
 GNS=GNS+GNL(L)
 GNINS= GNINS + endep_params%GNIN(J,L)
end do

GT=GNS + GNINS + endep_params%GGE(L1) ETA=GT*endep_params%dop XI=2.*ETA*H/GT CT=C0*ETA/GT CG = CT*GNS*endep_params%GGE(L1)/GT

CALL WOFZ(XI,ETA,UU,VV) SC = SC+CG*UU

do L=L1,L2,2
ST=ST+CT*GNL(L)*(UU*endep_params%COS2(L)+VV*endep_params%SIN2(L))
end do
end do







Future Work

- Uncertainty Quantification
 - It is unclear how to propagate uncertainty using self-shielded parameters in the URR
 - Previously no self-shielding uncertainty was propagated at all
 - More study must be done to figure out how to translate this to an evaluation







Conclusion

- Fitting procedures working correctly for self-shielded transmission and capture measurements inside of SAMMY
- Working on functionalizing and adding test coverage to all components in SESH
- Multi-Isotope sample fitting soon to be enabled

This work was supported by the Nuclear Criticality Safety Program, funded and managed by the National Nuclear Security Administration for the Department of Energy.





