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Nuclear Data

Connecting the RRR, URR, and Fast Regions of Pu

- Intermediate Energy Region (0.65 eV to 100 keV) contains all three evaluation methods of Pu
- Due to limitations of theory and differential data, there’s always a need to validate the cross sections using integral experiments
- Pu isotopes are critical to the national stockpile stewardship, non-proliferation, and commercial nuclear industry endeavors
Summary of Current ICSBEP Benchmarks

• Currently around 48 cases of (near) intermediate configurations.
  • PU_MET_INTER(PMI) 02-04 are ZPPR assemblies at ANL (1980s)
  • PU_MET_MIXED(PMM)-01 are BFS critical assemblies (1960s)
  • PMM-02 is TEX-Pu done at NCERC (2017/8)
  • PU_COMP_MIXED(PCM)-02 are assemblies from Hanford crit mass lab (1960s)
  • PU_COMP_INTER(PCI)-01 is a k-infinity benchmark done at HECTOR in UK (1960s)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>C/E $k_{eff}$ ± 1σ</th>
<th>Main Moderator(s)</th>
<th>Intermediate Fissions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PMI-02</td>
<td>0.997 ± 0.0023</td>
<td>Graphite/Stainless Steel</td>
<td>66.38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMM-01</td>
<td>1.01 ± 0.0037</td>
<td>Polyethylene</td>
<td>61.55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMM-02</td>
<td>1.002 ± 0.00260</td>
<td>Polyethylene</td>
<td>42.76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCM-02</td>
<td>1.04 ± 0.0046</td>
<td>Polystyrene</td>
<td>19.39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCI-01 (k infinity)</td>
<td>1.001 ± 0.0110</td>
<td>Graphite/Boron</td>
<td>88.36%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Summary of Current ICSBEP Benchmarks

- Currently around 48 cases of (near) intermediate configurations.
  - PU_MET_INTER(PMI) 02-04 are ZPPR assemblies (1980s)
  - PU_MET_MIXED(PMM)-01 are BFS critical assemblies (1960s)
  - PMM-02 is TEX-Pu done at NCERC (2017/8)
  - PU_COMP_MIXED(PCM)-02 are assemblies from Hanford crit mass lab (1960s)
  - PU_COMP_INTER(PCI)-01 is a k-infinity benchmark done at HECTOR in UK (1960s)

There are no modern Pu system that are truly intermediate and reliable enough for ND validation.
Targets for Intermediate Pu Systems
Cross Section Sensitivities for Pu Intermediate for 0.65 eV to 100 keV

- Besides PCI-01, all systems are below 0.19%/% for fission sensitivity.
- Similarly, capture sensitivity does not exceed -0.13%/%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Capture Sensitivity (%/%)</th>
<th>Fission Sensitivity (%/%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PMI-02</td>
<td>-1.3035E-01</td>
<td>1.9083E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMM-01</td>
<td>-9.8473E-02</td>
<td>1.5946E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMM-02</td>
<td>-8.5911E-02</td>
<td>1.4758E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCM-02</td>
<td>-2.1148E-02</td>
<td>3.6065E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCI-01 (k-infinity)</td>
<td>-1.6520E-01</td>
<td>5.2318E-01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
$^{239}$Pu Fission Sensitivity vs. Energy of Various Pu Experiments

- Intermediate Range [0.65 eV - 100 keV]
- PMF-01: Jezebel
- PMM-02-03: Tex-Pu Case 03 (Highest Intermediate)
- PMI-02: ZPPR
- PMM-02-05: Tex-Pu Case 05 (Highest Thermal)
Experiment for Unresolved Resonance Of Plutonium Actinides (EUROPA)
IER 551 True Intermediate Plutonium
• Energy gap in available Pu benchmarks for intermediate energies
  – 0.65 eV – 100 keV includes resolved, unresolved, and fast regions

• GOAL
  – 1) Maximum % of fissions caused by intermediate neutrons
  – 2) sensitivities to Pu-239 fission > 0.2 %/%
  – 3) and experimental uncertainties below 300 pcm

• Use ZPPR PANN and PAHN (high Pu-240 content) plates to develop a series of true intermediate benchmarks, to validate current and future Pu evaluations
Geometries, Materials, and Permutations

- Jupiter fuel block style vs. CWS/TEX layers
- Layer-style (CWS/TEX) designs win out due to ease of optimization
Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) for Material Selection

- An “optimized” design has high sensitivities and high percent of intermediate neutrons causing fission.
- Need to perform an exhaustive material search to say that this is in fact optimized for intermediate.
While PSO searches for intermediate fissions it also must be constrained to look for critical configurations.
### Results

Beryllium material combinations take 8 out of 10 spots for highest intermediate neutrons with 8 fuel layers

- Look for additional high sensitivity and intermediate fissions as reactivity can be controlled orthogonally

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Materials</th>
<th>Mod.</th>
<th>Abs.</th>
<th>$\kappa_{\text{eff}}$</th>
<th>EALF</th>
<th>T %</th>
<th>I %</th>
<th>F %</th>
<th>Sens. $^{239}\text{Pu} (n,f)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BeO-Cd</td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.99694</td>
<td>0.000509</td>
<td>6.35</td>
<td>73.03</td>
<td>20.62</td>
<td>0.31092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BeO-Gd</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.99134</td>
<td>0.000774</td>
<td>5.62</td>
<td>72.11</td>
<td>22.27</td>
<td>0.29844</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Be-Cd</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.00999</td>
<td>0.003378</td>
<td>8.05</td>
<td>71.03</td>
<td>20.92</td>
<td>0.30884</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Be-Gd</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.99011</td>
<td>0.00048</td>
<td>6.95</td>
<td>70.73</td>
<td>25.44</td>
<td>0.25627</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teflon-Graphite</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>0.73795</td>
<td>0.01753</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>70.73</td>
<td>25.44</td>
<td>0.25627</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graphite-Cd</td>
<td>3.35</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.86086</td>
<td>0.001648</td>
<td>2.96</td>
<td>69.89</td>
<td>27.16</td>
<td>0.27047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BeO-BHDPE</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.99869</td>
<td>0.001337</td>
<td>4.57</td>
<td>69.76</td>
<td>25.67</td>
<td>0.25566</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Be-BHDPE</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>1.00355</td>
<td>0.001367</td>
<td>4.74</td>
<td>68.68</td>
<td>26.58</td>
<td>0.25865</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Be-Cu</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.99351</td>
<td>0.001306</td>
<td>5.53</td>
<td>68.54</td>
<td>25.91</td>
<td>0.24359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BeO-Pb</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.01329</td>
<td>0.00711</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>68.06</td>
<td>23.15</td>
<td>0.23724</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BeO-Graphite</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>0.09727</td>
<td>0.00518</td>
<td>10.52</td>
<td>67.33</td>
<td>22.14</td>
<td>0.24268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graphite-BHDPE</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.80033</td>
<td>0.001154</td>
<td>5.98</td>
<td>67.21</td>
<td>26.81</td>
<td>0.29641</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teflon-Pb</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.67017</td>
<td>0.009289</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>67.12</td>
<td>32.47</td>
<td>0.18860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graphite-Gd</td>
<td>3.97</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.89763</td>
<td>0.003117</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>67.02</td>
<td>31.28</td>
<td>0.23329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Be-Graphite</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>0.99387</td>
<td>0.000591</td>
<td>10.02</td>
<td>66.91</td>
<td>23.07</td>
<td>0.23932</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teflon-Gd</td>
<td>3.89</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.60972</td>
<td>0.013328</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>66.82</td>
<td>33.08</td>
<td>0.21661</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Be-Pb</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.99803</td>
<td>0.00634</td>
<td>10.35</td>
<td>65.83</td>
<td>23.82</td>
<td>0.23387</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teflon-Cd</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.69425</td>
<td>0.014751</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>65.4</td>
<td>34.57</td>
<td>0.17028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BeO-Cu</td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.99043</td>
<td>0.008287</td>
<td>14.77</td>
<td>65.08</td>
<td>20.15</td>
<td>0.25270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graphite-Cu</td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>0.90962</td>
<td>0.003588</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>64.96</td>
<td>31.86</td>
<td>0.24655</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu-Graphite</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>0.85518</td>
<td>0.005562</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>64.52</td>
<td>34.16</td>
<td>0.19827</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alumina-Cd</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.6606</td>
<td>0.013679</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>63.26</td>
<td>36.67</td>
<td>0.18282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu-BHDPE</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.55907</td>
<td>0.004819</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>60.78</td>
<td>37.27</td>
<td>0.28903</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alumina-BHDPE</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.46449</td>
<td>0.001291</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>60.67</td>
<td>36.32</td>
<td>0.32683</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alumina-Pb</td>
<td>3.89</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.54587</td>
<td>0.015946</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>68.72</td>
<td>40.78</td>
<td>0.17359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb-BHDPE</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.90050</td>
<td>0.006900</td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>58.02</td>
<td>39.57</td>
<td>0.21528</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teflon-BHDPE</td>
<td>3.76</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.32557</td>
<td>0.004612</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>57.01</td>
<td>40.06</td>
<td>0.31367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDP-E-6</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.79069</td>
<td>0.000936</td>
<td>15.47</td>
<td>56.94</td>
<td>27.59</td>
<td>0.28734</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lucite-Gd</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.43869</td>
<td>0.001016</td>
<td>9.35</td>
<td>56.73</td>
<td>33.92</td>
<td>0.33063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lucite-Cu</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.75067</td>
<td>0.002551</td>
<td>18.09</td>
<td>55.76</td>
<td>26.15</td>
<td>0.29023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graphite-Graphite</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.7855</td>
<td>0.026005</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>53.54</td>
<td>46.32</td>
<td>0.13174</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Preliminary Design Concepts

Achieved: Exceed 68% intermediate fissions and 0.2 Pu-239 fission sensitivity

How feasible are these designs?

- Is it better to lose a larger fission sensitivity for a better benchmark experiment?
- Is 0.1 mm of Cd a realistic material dimension to incorporate into a design?
- Maybe other moderator/interstitials will yield similar sensitivities and avoid both Be hazards and thin pieces
- Minimize number of reflector and moderating elements to highlight Pu isotopes

\[ Teflon-Graphite^a: \]
\[ \begin{array}{cccccccc}
\text{Material} & \text{Mod.} & \text{Abs.} & K_{\text{eff}} & \text{EALF} & \text{T\%} & \text{I\%} & \text{F\%} & S_{(n,f)}^{239Pu} \\
Teflon\_Graphite^a: & 3.64 & 1.29 & 1.00978 & 0.0043445 & 1.45 & 68.39 & 30.16 & 0.3190 \\
HDPE\_Pb: & 0.32 & 0.45 & 1.01195 & 0.000229 & 25.22 & 46.92 & 27.87 & 0.16545 \\
Be\_Cu: & 1.59 & 0.36 & 0.99351 & 0.001306 & 5.53 & 68.54 & 25.93 & 0.2423 \\
Be\_Cd: & 2.99 & 0.01 & 1.00095 & 0.000378 & 8 & 72.05 & 19.95 & 0.31683 \\
BeO\_Cd: & 3.19 & 0.01 & 0.99694 & 0.000509 & 6.35 & 73.03 & 20.62 & 0.31092 \\
\end{array} \]

\( ^a \) Teflon-Graphite combination has been loaded with three additional fuel plates to bring predicted \( k_{\text{eff}} \) to critical.
Final CED-01 Design of EUROPA
Minimize materials other than Pu

- 16 - 7 x 5 fuel layers of ZPPR sandwiched between 1.8 cm of Be-metal
- More fuel layers and (n,2n) from Be allow for no reflector to be used

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Fission Sensitivity</th>
<th>Capture Sensitivity</th>
<th>Intermediate %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EUROPA</td>
<td>0.3021</td>
<td>-0.1610</td>
<td>67.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMI-02</td>
<td>0.1902</td>
<td>-0.1304</td>
<td>66.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMM-01</td>
<td>0.1594</td>
<td>-0.0980</td>
<td>61.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMM-02</td>
<td>0.1476</td>
<td>-0.0861</td>
<td>42.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jupiter</td>
<td>0.0127</td>
<td>-0.0054</td>
<td>16.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ZPPR
Be
Series of Critical Configurations
Validate Pu-239 and Pu-240

- PAHN plates will be used to add high Pu-240 content into system
- Pu-240 has higher capture cross section than Pu-239, needs to be varied throughout in the system
- 39 PAHN plates in inventory and each layer has 35 plates currently
PAHN Experiment Layers
Need to introduce high Pu-240 plates into design to validate cross sections

- 1 is all PANN, nominal structure setup
- 2 is maximum PAHN on top of uppermost layer, 3 is top of bottom stack
- 4 is outer ring on top of bottom stack, 5 is center on top of bottom stack
CED – 2 Discussions
Looking ahead

• Is a thin graphite or aluminum reflector preferred to remove room return?
• If beryllium isn’t available in the quantities, can Alumina/Graphite with Cu-reflector prove to be a suitable alternative?
• Sensitivities to Pu-240 calculations and final PAHN experiments
Summary

Experiment for Unresolved Resonance Of Plutonium Actinides

- Motivation of validating end of RRR, whole URR, and beginning of fast region of Pu isotopes with new targeted integral measurement.
- Experiment design using ZPPR plates layered between moderators and absorbers optimized using PSO.
- Be-Cd interstitial combination proved to yield highest sensitivity to fission/capture cross sections with 8 fuel layers.
- Sole Be metal and ZPPR plate design chosen to move forward as it achieves design criteria with highest ND constraints
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Particle Swarm Optimization

Gradient free optimization for Monte Carlo simulations by C. Kostelac

• Particle swarm optimization built on the premise of a swarm of particles traveling through a design space, position represented as design parameters

\[
\vec{x}_i^k = \begin{bmatrix}
x_1 \\
x_2 \\
\vdots \\
x_n
\end{bmatrix}
\]

• Each particle updates its position in time with weighted knowledge of swarm best (φ), personal best (ρ), and current velocity (ω)

\[
\vec{v}_i^{k+1} = \omega \vec{v}_i^k + \phi r_1(\vec{p}_i^k - \vec{x}_i^k) + \rho r_2(\vec{g}^k - \vec{x}_i^k)
\]

• Swarm set to optimize for high intermediate fissions and fission sensitivities