OAK RIDGE National Laboratory

Path to Automated Validation of ENDF/B-VIII.1

Jesse Brown, Kang Seog Kim, Rike Bostelmann, Germina Ilas

Oak Ridge National Laboratory

NCSP Technical Program Review

Feb. 2024

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

ENDF-8.1 Validation Methods

Automation methods:
- Nuclide Templating + Scripting + GitLab VCS



ENDF-8.1 Validation Methods

Open-Source

- Exsite: https://code.ornl.gov/scale/code/external/exsite
- AMPX: https://code.ornl.gov/scale/code/scale-public
- Advanced Reactors*: https://code.ornl.gov/scale/analysis/non-lwr-nuclear-data-nureg

Export Controlled

- VALID
- SCALE
  - KENO
  - TSUNAMI
  - TRITON



Validation & Verification



Use many different application spaces

- Criticality benchmarks (VALID-Greene)
- Reactor Criticality (BWR, PWR, Advanced Reactors, MADRE)
- Depletion RCA
- Depleted Fuel Reactivity (VERA Suite)



OAK RIDGE National Laboratory

Validation: Advanced Reactor Criticality (Bostelmann)

HTR-10	k_{eff}	Δk [pcm]
Benchmark	1.00000 +/- 0.00370	(ref)
ENDF/B-VII.1	1.00301 +/- 0.00019	301 +/- 370
ENDF/B-VIII.0	1.00650 +/- 0.00019	650 +/- 370
ENDF/B-VIII.1	1.00587 +/- 0.00019	587 +/- 370
HTTR		
Benchmark	1.00250 +/- 0.00710	(ref)
ENDF/B-VII.1	1.00725 +/- 0.00019	475 +/- 710
ENDF/B-VIII.0	1.01062 +/- 0.00019	812 +/- 710
ENDF/B-VIII.1	1.01013 +/- 0.00019	763 +/- 710
MSRE*		
Benchmark	0.99978 +/- 0.00420	(ref)
ENDF/B-VII.1	1.01917 +/- 0.00019	1939 +/- 420
ENDF/B-VIII.0	1.02168 +/- 0.00019	2190 +/- 420
ENDF/B-VIII.1	1.01776 +/- 0.00019	1798 +/- 420
EBR-II		
Benchmark	1.00927 +/- 0.00618	(ref)
ENDF/B-VII.1	1.00738 +/- 0.00019	-189 +/- 618
ENDF/B-VIII.0	1.00713 +/- 0.00019	-214 +/- 618
ENDF/B-VIII.1	1.00450 +/- 0.00019	-477 +/- 618



HTR-10* (pebble-bed HTGR)



HTTR* (prismatic HTGR)



MSRE* (graphite moderated MSR)



EBR-II* (SFR)

- Fresh fueled HTGR: similar performance of 8.1 vs. 8.0, but ~300 pcm difference to 7.1 because of ^{235}U and ^{238}U updates
- **Fresh fueled MSRE: almost 400 pcm difference between 8.1 and 8.0 almost exclusively because of ^{19}F updates**
- **SFR with HEU fuel at various levels of burnup: 260 pcm difference between 8.1 and 8.0, almost exclusively because of ^{52}Cr and ^{53}Cr updates**

*Known discrepancy between MSRE calculation and experiment, update to specifications expected

*Benchmarks specified in the IRPhE Handbook

Validation: Depletion RCA (Ilas)

- Goesgen/MALIBU
- GKN
- Radiochemical Analysis (RCA)
- ENDF-7.0/7.1 Decay Data



¼ assembly model for Gosgen GU3 sample



Validation: Depletion RCA vs. Experiment

- Goesgen Reactor, GGU1 sample
- Actinides
- ~70 GWd/t
- UO_2 fuel



Verification: Depleted Fuel Reactivity(Kim)

- **Previous investigation on depletion computational benchmarks**
  - SERPENT 2.1: ENDF/B-VII.1 and VIII.0 ACE format libraries
  - ENDF/B-VIII.0
    - Underestimate reactivities by ~600 pcm at high burnup (60 MWd/kgU)
    - Dominant nuclides: ^{239}Pu, ^{235}U, ^{16}O, ^{238}U, ^{242}Pu, ^{240}Pu (in order)

Reminder from CSEWG 2019
- ENDF/B-VII.1 has ***low*** reactivity at high burnup

- **Additional investigation**
  - ENDF/B-VII.1 vs VIII.0 vs VIII.1 beta2
    - Replace cross sections of VIII.0 for 6 nuclides with ENDF/B-VIII.1 beta2
    - ^{239}Pu, ^{235}U, ^{16}O, ^{238}U, ^{242}Pu, ^{240}Pu and Capote's ^{239}Pu
  - Benchmark calculations
    - Program
      - SERPENT 2.1
    - Typical PWR single fuel pin (1C) and fuel assembly (2C)
      - 3.1 w/o ^{235}U
      - 900 K for fuel, 600 K for cladding and moderator
    - Depletion
      - Same fission kappa values and power density



https://indico.bnl.gov/event/6642/contributions/32059/attachments/25350/37909/CSEWG2019_11042019_r1.pdf

Verification: **ENDF/B-VII.1 vs. VIII.0 vs. VIII.1 beta2**

- **Reactivity underestimation**
  - VERA Depletion Benchmark Problems
    - PWR single pins and assemblies: SERPENT2 Monte Carlo
  - ENDF/B-VIII.0 reactivities are much lower
    - Influencing nuclides: ^{239}Pu, ^{235}U, ^{16}O, ^{238}U, ^{242}Pu, ^{240}Pu (in order)



Conclusions

- We need to ***expand the variety*** of applications to rigorously test libraries
- Automated library validation provides quick feedback to improve ENDF/B libraries
- Advanced reactors:
  - Decreasing reactivity for 8.1b2 compared to 8.0, some unexpected nuclides causing major differences (F-19, Cr), no clear performance difference when compared to experiment
- Depletion RCA:
  - High impact isotopes closer to 7.1
  - Small improvement on average (U-5, Pu-9, BC FPs), worse for Am and Cm
- Fuel reactivity:
  - 8.1b2 is higher reactivity at high burnups than 8.0, but likely under-predicting k_{eff} for PWRs at high burnups

Acknowledgements

- This work was supported by the Nuclear Criticality Safety Program, funded and managed by the National Nuclear Security Administration for the Department of Energy.
- This work was supported by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission Office of Research.
- The entire Nuclear Energy and Fuel Cycle Division at ORNL

Additional Slides

Verification: MADRE Suite

- Suite of simple unit cell and assembly based on various reactor concepts developed for SCALE library testing: LWR, HTGR, SFR, MSR
- k_{eff} results with ENDF/B-VIII.1 compared to ENDF/B-VIII.0

ABTR pin cells and assemblies



PWR pin cells and assemblies



HTGR pebble



- Fresh fueled **LWR**: small k_{eff} differences of less than 150 pcm
- U/TRU fueled **SFR**: k_{eff} larger by up to 800 pcm
- **HTGR** models: k_{eff} differences between -220 and 800 pcm depending on temperature and burnup
- **MSR** models: k_{eff} differences between -220 and 300 pcm depending on burnup, temperature, spectrum (moderation)

Validation: Depletion RCA vs ENDF-7.1

- Goesgen Reactor, GGU1 sample
- Actinides
- ~70 GWd/t
- UO_2 fuel



Validation: Depletion RCA vs. Experiment

- Goesgen Reactor, GGM sample
- Actinides
- ~67 GWd/t
- MOX fuel



Validation: Depletion RCA vs. Experiment

- GKN Reactor
- Actinides
- ~54 GWd/t
- UO_2 fuel



Verification : **ENDF/B-VII.1 vs. ENDF/B-VIII.0**

- **Reactivity underestimation**
  - VERA Depletion Benchmark Problems
    - PWR single pins and assemblies: SERPENT2 Monte Carlo
  - ENDF/B-VIII.0 reactivities are much lower
    - Influencing nuclides: ^{239}Pu, ^{235}U, ^{16}O, ^{238}U, ^{242}Pu, ^{240}Pu (in order)



Watts Bar, Unit 1



Verification: **ENDF/B-VIII.0 vs. VIII.1 beta2 (I)**

- **VERA 1C problem (PWR single fuel pin)**
  - ENDF/B-VIII.0 library + 6 nuclides with ENDF/B-VIII.1 beta2 data
    - ^{239}Pu, ^{235}U, ^{16}O, ^{238}U, ^{242}Pu, ^{240}Pu
    - Overall (+100 pcm), ^{239}Pu (-50 pcm), ^{239}Pu (Capote, -100 pcm), ^{235}U (0 pcm), ^{16}O (0 pcm), ^{238}U (+100 pcm)



Verification: **ENDF/B-VIII.0 vs. VIII.1 beta2 (II)**

- **VERA 2C problem (PWR single fuel assembly)**
  - ENDF/B-VIII.0 library + 6 nuclides with ENDF/B-VIII.1 beta2 data
    - ^{239}Pu, ^{235}U, ^{16}O, ^{238}U, ^{242}Pu, ^{240}Pu
    - Overall (+100 pcm), ^{239}Pu (-50 pcm), ^{239}Pu (Capote, -100 pcm), ^{235}U (0 pcm), ^{16}O (0 pcm), ^{238}U (+100 pcm)